



British Art Studies

November 2019



British Art Studies

Issue 14, published 29 November 2019

Cover image: James Richards, *on the side of the disease and not the cure*, 2019.
Digital image courtesy of James Richards.

PDF generated on 21 September 2020

Note: *British Art Studies* is a digital publication and intended to be experienced online and referenced digitally. PDFs are provided for ease of reading offline. Please do not reference the PDF in academic citations: we recommend the use of DOIs (digital object identifiers) provided within the online article. These unique alphanumeric strings identify content and provide a persistent link to a location on the internet. A DOI is guaranteed never to change, so you can use it to link permanently to electronic documents with confidence.

Published by:

Paul Mellon Centre
16 Bedford Square
London, WC1B 3JA
<https://www.paul-mellon-centre.ac.uk>

In partnership with:

Yale Center for British Art
1080 Chapel Street
New Haven, Connecticut
<https://britishart.yale.edu>

ISSN: 2058-5462

DOI: 10.17658/issn.2058-5462

URL: <https://www.britishartstudies.ac.uk>

Editorial team: <https://www.britishartstudies.ac.uk/about/editorial-team>

Advisory board: <https://www.britishartstudies.ac.uk/about/advisory-board>

Produced in the United Kingdom.

A joint publication by



PAUL MELLON
CENTRE

YALE CENTER FOR BRITISH ART

Contents

on the side of the disease and not the cure, James Richards and Sarah Perks



on the side of the disease and not the cure

James Richards and Sarah Perks

Authors

Sarah Perks is Professor of MIMA School of Art and Design, Middlesbrough.

Cite as

James Richards and Sarah Perks, "*on the side of the disease and not the cure*", *British Art Studies*, Issue 14, <https://dx.doi.org/10.17658/issn.2058-5462/issue-14/cover>

Introduction

This Cover Collaboration displays new work by James Richards, and a short essay by curator Sarah Perks, commissioned by *British Art Studies* to coincide with the release of the landmark publication *Artists' Moving Image in Britain Since 1989* (edited by Erika Balsom, Lucy Reynolds, and Sarah Perks), and the exhibition *Migrating Worlds: The Art of the Moving Image in Britain* at the Yale Center for British Art (October–December 2019). The book, which was published by the Paul Mellon Centre, includes an artist's statement by James Richards, edited by Sarah Perks.

on the side of the disease and not the cure

The title is a description of the film *Safe* (1995) from an interview with the director Todd Haynes. In this new commission for the cover of *British Art Studies*, James Richards starts with scans of medical equipment available to purchase online for self-diagnosis. The equipment offers a bypass for professional analysis of sickness that willingly creates a confusion between symptom, cause, and cure. They are also an opportunity to disregard the societal and structural factors that might cause ill health in the first place, by forcing the solution to be procured or bought by the individual alone.

This piece continues the artist's investigation into the body and technology, found in his earlier works such as *Rushes Minotaur* (2016) and *Uncontrollable Universe* (2019), that dislocate found objects and images to create new meanings. Richards' describes his process: "It's through the enabler of digital technology that you can work with sound and the moving image at a really high level and with the same level of intimacy as the directness of painting and collage."¹ Here he uses the popular GIF format—a low-res animated file designed for the Internet—to take over the screen creating a vertical series of moving images.

Cheap medical equipment is joined by other paraphernalia (cotton buds, lube, selfies, keys); the clinical merges with the casual, the mundane and the memories collide randomly as they might in a bedside drawer. Together, they create a part-abstract, part-classical composition distanced completely from online sales techniques and the business of self-diagnosis. Via a flatbed scanner, they return a full circle to their Internet origin as a disorientated yet powerful digital artwork that explores the private desire to heal.

The dreamy GIF format of this work allows the objects to move, yet they feel also trapped, embodying a description of Internet space Richards' has called: "...like a pond—a flat surface when seen from above, but once you get close and jump inside you can swim up, down, and sideways."² The micro-choreography, looping, and an absence of sound within the GIFs, and their

scrolling structure when presented together, are like a mesmerising metaphor for our own state of online sickness. The Internet as a perpetual, endless, and irresistible oasis of self-help and self-diagnosis.

In the film *Safe*, the main character Carol battles an unnamed and undiagnosable illness, it is never clear how much is physical or psychological (“Now nobody out there made you sick, you know that, the only person who could make you get sick is you, right?”). Set in 1987, Carol sends herself into an institutional self-help, self-love, self-diagnosis retreat. The Wrenwood Centre in the film is like the Internet, by offering only what one can buy (or buy into) as the solution to sickness, it reveals itself as a place for the business of disease, not the cure.

Footnotes

- ¹ “James Richards”, in Erika Balsom, Lucy Reynolds, Sarah Perks (eds.), *Artists' Moving Image in Britain Since 1989* (London: Paul Mellon Centre for Studies in British Art, 2019), 436.
- ² “Questionnaire: James Richards”, in Omar Kholeif (ed.), *You Are Here: Art After the Internet* (Manchester: Cornerhouse Publications and Space, 2014), 231.

Licensing

The Publishers of *British Art Studies* are committed to supporting scholarship on British art and architecture of all periods. This publication is made available free of charge at <https://www.britishartstudies.ac.uk>. We ask users to identify the use of materials made available through this website and to provide an appropriate credit to the author and the publication, so that others may find and use our resources.

Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 2.0 UK: England & Wales Licence (CC BY-NC 2.0 UK). To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/uk/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

The Publishers fully support the protection of intellectual property and are committed to complying with, and strictly adhering to, all applicable copyright law. In many cases, copyright or other proprietary rights may be held by individuals or entities other than, or in addition to, the Publishers. If a work or a photographic image is still protected by copyright, you must cite the relevant copyright information when using the image and comply with all other terms or restrictions that may be applicable to that material.

In some cases, exceptions to copyright that permit limited use of protected works without the permission of the copyright owner may have been applied. We are confident that we have carried out due diligence in our use of copyrighted material as required, but we apologise for any inadvertent infringement of rights.

Digital copies of resources are made accessible for research for one of the following reasons:

- they are in the public domain;
- the rights are owned by the Publishers;
- we make them accessible under an exception or limitation to UK copyright law, as outlined in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (as amended);
- we have permission to make them accessible;
- or, there are no known restrictions on use.

If you believe that we have made a mistake and wish for your material to be removed from our site, please contact us at copyright@paul-mellon-centre.ac.uk.

Please include the following information with your request:

- Name and contact information, including email address and phone number.
- Identification of the resource for consideration of removal. Providing URLs in your communication will help us locate content quickly.
- The reason for the request.

The Publishers respond promptly, normally within 21 business days. We may remove the resource from our site while we assess the validity of the request. Upon completion of the assessment, we will take appropriate action and communicate that action to you.