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Abstract

Examining the afterlife of Aubrey Beardsley (1872–1898) in Russia at the beginning of the twentieth century, this article focuses on the coterie of his primary admirers, the St Petersburg aesthetes of the World of Art group led by Sergei Diaghilev, and the circulation of Beardsley's images through the World of Art’s journal *Mir iskusstva*. After tracing how this network acquired their initial knowledge of Beardsley, the article unpacks selective strategies and ideological choices which underpinned his representation to Russian audiences. Entrenched in the topical debates of Russian *fin de siècle* about national identity and cosmopolitanism, Beardsley’s circulation was fashioned into a signifier of the latter. The article finds that it was the neo-rococo phase of Beardsley’s work that tapped into the World of Art’s vision of modernity and the group’s promotion of the international Rococo Revival, which was, in its turn, tied to their reinvention of the eighteenth-century Russian cultural tradition. By examining how the group’s designers, in particular, Leon Bakst, adopted Beardsley’s technique, the article also shows that his stylised graphic language was uniquely suited to facilitate the traversal of boundaries between art forms.
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Aubrey Beardsley (1872-1898) has long been regarded as “peculiarly of his period”. Mainly remembered as the notorious illustrator of Oscar Wilde’s play *Salome* (1894) and the art editor of the emblematic 1890s journal *Yellow Book*, he was also a man of letters, dandy, and wit. Beardsley died of tuberculosis in 1898, leaving behind design commissions, poems, aphorisms, and an unfinished erotic novella *Under the Hill* (1896), based on Richard Wagner’s opera *Tannhäuser* (1845). It is no surprise that he has come to represent the decadent *fin-de-siècle* artist par excellence. In the 1890s, the memoirist Holbrook Jackson even declared Beardsley “a prisoner for ever in those Eighteen Nineties of which he had been so inevitable an expression”. Although his extraordinary black-and-white designs have indeed provided a visual key for contemporary preoccupations with eroticism, decadence, and the grotesque, the period’s “prisoner” he was not. Beardsley’s remarkable international fame was both the product and the producer of a number of cosmopolitan coteries that spread his works across Europe while shaping, at the same time, avant-garde movements that adopted Beardsley as their flagship artist. Today, we are living through another “Beardsley Craze”, with the publication of Linda Gertner Zatlin’s monumental *Aubrey Beardsley: A Catalogue Raisonné*, followed by major Beardsley exhibitions at Tate Britain (March–September 2020) and at the Musée d’Orsay (October 2020–January 2021). Of the many histories of the artist’s international reception, one crucial story remains untold: the one of Beardsley’s afterlife in Russia.

While many scholars have acknowledged in passing Beardsley’s influence on specific Russian figures and movements, no systematised account of this subject has been produced in English. Rosamund Bartlett’s most recent essay, “Beardsley and Russia” in the catalogue of Tate Britain’s exhibition, only starts to open up avenues for future research. In Russia, on the other hand, the impact of Beardsley’s art and persona on early twentieth-century culture has become more widely recognised in recent years. As a testament to this legacy, a large-scale exhibition, *Oscar Wilde, Aubrey Beardsley: A View from Russia*, took place at the Pushkin State Museum of Fine Arts (Moscow) in 2014. The catalogue contains Sof′ia Chapkina-Ruga’s survey of the ways Beardsley’s technique was adopted by Russian graphic artists and Ekaterina Viazova’s insightful essay with a broader view of the artist’s role in the formation of Russian Aestheticism. And yet, there is more to be said about the scope of the Russian “Beardsley Craze” than one exhibition can cover. This article redresses this gap by outlining the scope of Russian Beardsleyism, mapping the primary network of Russian Beardsleyites associated with the St Petersburg group World of Art, and exploring the dissemination of Beardsley’s work through their pioneering modernist journal of the same name, *Mir iskusstva* (*World of Art*, 1899–1904). The article also
examines the adoption of Beardsley’s visual language by the journal’s designers, which constituted another channel for the transmission of his aesthetics in Russia.

Discovered in the mid-1890s by the World of Art coterie’s aesthetes, Beardsley was soon fashioned into a cultural icon. The Russian circulation of his work began with the opening volume of the group’s periodical Mir iskusstva and soon included a thematic “Beardsley issue” of the Moscow Symbolist review Vesy (Libra), translations of verses and prose, elegant albums of drawings, entries in popular encyclopaedias, scholarly monographs, and more (Fig. 1). The fascination with the artist extended far beyond the small circle of critics and publishers. A hairstyle à la Beardsley was in fashion among the dandies of Moscow and St Petersburg (Figs 2 and 3). The literary evocations of “the cigar ladies of Art Nouveau” were peppered with references to Beardsley’s designs. In literature, Beardsley’s name was integrated into the vast body of modernist poetry and prose, with homages from authors as different as Andrei Bely, Anatolii Mariengof, and Aleksei Lozina-Lozinskii, to name but a few (Fig. 4). In visual art, Beardsley’s imagery and style became so common during the 1910s that its wide dissemination threatened to undermine the artist’s elite status.
Figure 1.
Nikolai Feofilaktov, A Tribute to Aubrey Beardsley, cover design for the thematic issue of Vesy 11 (1905), in Nikolai Feofilaktov, 66 risunkov (Moscow: Skorpion, 1909), plate 13. Collection of Cambridge University Library (Rare Books, Lib.5.90.405, N. Feofilaktov, Plate 13). Digital image courtesy of The Syndics of Cambridge University Library (all rights reserved).
Figure 2.

Figure 3.
Nikolai Gumilev, 1911, photograph. Digital image courtesy of http://gumilev.ru (all rights reserved).
A vivid illustration of the spread of the “Beardsley craze” in Russia occurs in the memoirs of the artist Nikolai Kuz´min, in his account of a study day at the Society for the Encouragement of the Arts (St Petersburg) in 1913. Kuz´min describes some typical drawings brought in by an aspiring student from the provinces: the pictures were filled with “goat-hoofed satyrs, harlequins and columbines, ladies in hoop skirts and ostrich feathers and ladies with no costumes at all, but with high coiffures and wearing masks, pierrots in cloaks with pompons and sultanesses in turbans and salwars”. Halfway through the novice’s portfolio, the master of the studio, artist Ivan Bilibin declared: “Beardsley keeps [you] up at night”. As Kuz´min clarifies, when “a legion of petty imitators had adjusted the whimsical style of the refined English boy for the tastes of the readership of the journals of ‘beautiful life’, imitating Beardsley became ‘mauvais ton’”. The name of the late Victorian artist grew into a set epithet. The “Beardsleyesque” now characterised an aesthetic, a style, and a quality, which could be discovered in people and things. This article will look at the dawn of the Russian “Beardsley craze” and examine how the initial fashioning of the artist’s reputation reflected the tensions between nationalism and cosmopolitanism as well as the conflicting perceptions of modernity in the turn-of-the-century Russian art circles.
The study of Beardsley’s circulation in Russia begins with World of Art’s journal *Mir iskusstva*. As John Bowlt clarifies, the name “World of Art” was adopted by several groups during the first decades of the twentieth century: an informal “club” founded by St Petersburg aesthetes in 1889 and the eponymous periodical they launched a decade later; and a series of three exhibitions (in 1899–1906 and 1910–1924 in St Petersburg; and in 1921 and 1927 in Paris) as well as the three separate societies which organised them.

While the title remained unchanged, the individuals and activities associated with it shifted. This essay concentrates on the first group, the World of Art’s founders. The key members included the coterie’s charismatic leader Sergei Diaghilev, the would-be secretary of the Ballets Russes company Val’ter Nuvel’, the future religious and political writer Dmitrii Filosofov, and the artists Aleksandr Benois, Konstantin Somov, and Leon Bakst. From the very outset of their careers, the World of Art members considered Beardsley one of their “masters of thoughts”. According to Benois’ recollections, the circle heard of the late Victorian *enfant terrible* through their associate Al´fred Nurok. A “typical Decadent”, several years older than the rest of the group, he posed among his friends as an aesthete and a man of the world. He worshipped Oscar Wilde, one of his great “authorities”, and though not devoted to fine arts in general, consistently championed Beardsley’s work within the milieu of the World of Art.

From as early as 1894, the group kept up to date with Beardsley’s career. Thus, in his monograph of 1918, the art historian Sergei Ernst maintains that Somov was one of the first subscribers of *The Savoy*, the journal in which Beardsley served in 1896 as the artist in chief. Moreover, the World of Art’s knowledge of Beardsley’s works stretched beyond the well-known and widely available, and into the domain of the arcane. As Anna Zav´ialova discovered in 2008, Somov produced a copy of Beardsley’s sexually explicit drawing *A Snare of Vintage* and presented it as a birthday gift to the Moscow hostess Vera Firsanova. The original was meant to illustrate *Lucian’s True History*, privately printed by Lawrence and Bullen in London in 1894. The publisher, however, considered the design indecent. A platinotype made from Beardsley’s design was inserted loose only in fifty-four large paper copies of the edition. According to Zav´ialova, Somov’s replica of this restricted illustration is dated 1894, that is, the same year *Lucian’s True History* appeared in print. Somov’s lasting personal interest in the artist was confirmed in 1906, when he compiled an album of Beardsley’s drawings, and in 1924, when he recorded consulting Beardsley’s originals from Albert Gallatin’s collection at the Metropolitan Museum of Art.
Benois notes in his memoirs that Diaghilev met Beardsley personally at the house of the painter Jacques-Émile Blanche in Dieppe in 1897. The subject of their conversation remains undocumented. Nevertheless, corresponding with the Scottish critic and artist D.S. MacColl in the following year, Diaghilev stated that he had known “Beardsley at Dieppe” and declared himself one of the artist’s “greatest admirers”. The meeting with Beardsley closely followed the visit Diaghilev paid to Benois in Brittany, during which the two shared ideas about the World of Art’s future periodical, Mir iskusstva. Doubtless, Beardsley must have interested Diaghilev as the designer of the two avant-garde English journals of the 1890s, the Yellow Book and The Savoy. Moreover, there is some evidence that Diaghilev may have conceived Mir iskusstva as a Russian version of another English periodical closely linked with Beardsley. Benois recalls that, while discussing the future publication of Mir iskusstva with Vladimir Argutinskii in 1896, Diaghilev gave the English Studio as an example. In 1893, the inaugural issue of the Studio was published with Beardsley’s cover design, featuring eight reproductions of his drawings and a laudatory article about the artist by Joseph Pennell.

At the time of the meeting with the would-be impresario in Dieppe, Beardsley would have been working on the illustrations for Théophile Gautier’s novel Mademoiselle de Maupin (1835). As recalled by the translator and poet Douglas Ainslie, who also came across Beardsley in the summer of 1897, the artist was “living at a small hotel at Dieppe, […] immersed at that time in the reading of Gautier, and in the illustrative work of Watteau, Fragonard and other French masters of his century”. Having met Beardsley at that particular stage in the artist’s work, Ainslie consequently reckoned him an eighteenth-century man, who “belonged to that period in France, though with his genius he reached out into the future and has influenced black and white work more than any other artist of our time”. Likewise, Diaghilev’s encountering Beardsley during the Mademoiselle de Maupin phase, when the artist’s interest in his favourite eighteenth-century was at its peak, had a considerable effect on the representation of his work in Mir iskusstva, as this article will demonstrate.

Mir iskusstva and Beardsley’s Rococo Revival

Through their periodical, the World of Art group aspired to educate the public about the latest European trends and intensify transnational cultural exchange. In its visual presentation, Mir iskusstva followed the examples of European art nouveau periodicals: the German Pan and Jugend, the French La Revue Blanche, and the English journals, Yellow Book, Savoy, and Studio, all mentioned above. The World of Art coterie fashioned Mir iskusstva as a “book beautiful” paying special attention to typography and fine paper, as
well as ordering high-quality art reproductions from abroad during the first years of publication. Thus, the visual appearance asserted the place of the Russian journal within the burgeoning network of periodicals which transmitted New Art internationally. At the same time, critical essays made the readership acquainted with the foreign representatives of these art trends and foregrounded the nexus between Russia and the West. How then did Beardsley fit into this modern and cosmopolitan agenda, fostered by the periodical’s editorial collective?

After the launch of *Mir iskusstva* in 1899, it started championing Beardsley’s work in Russia. The designs *Faun Reading to a Woman* and *Lady on a Sofa* (both 1896) were used to illustrate Diaghilev’s aesthetic manifesto “Complicated Questions” (Fig. 5). Nurok’s short introductory article on Beardsley was published in the same issue of the journal. Drawing attention to the playful eroticism of Beardsley’s work, Nurok marvelled at the artist’s “powerful artistic individuality”, “particularly charming” in the representations of “excessively-sensuous and mysteriously seductive phenomena of soul’s life”. Acknowledging the distinct styles absorbed by Beardsley, he singled out “japonisme with its refined simplification” and “the compositions of the Régence epoch filled with subjectivity”. 
The “Régence epoch” and neo-rococo style became the focal point in the representation of Beardsley in *Mir iskusstva* in 1900, when D.S. MacColl’s essay on the artist was published in the double issues 7–8 and 9–10 and supplied with twelve designs by Beardsley (Fig. 6). Commissioned by Diaghilev in 1898, the essay was meant to familiarise the Russian “public with that refined and exquisite artist’s meaning, with the causes of the apparition of his art and with a general aspect of his personality”, while pointing out “the influence that this, as yet not fully appreciated painter [sic!], has had”. MacColl’s extensive account was translated into Russian, most likely, by the Beardsleyite and Anglophile Nurok. The original version became available in English only five decades later when it was printed by R.A. Walker.
The selection of reproductions shows the editors’ preference for those pictures which spoke of Beardsley’s fascination with the eighteenth century. In around 1896, the year which Robert Ross called Beardsley’s “annis mirabilis”, the artist adopted a wistful neo-rococo style for his designs and literary experiments. As Ken Ireland shows in his book on the rococo revival, the resurgence of taste for things of the *ancien régime* was a widespread European trend in the second half of the nineteenth century. From Paul Verlaine’s set of poems *Fêtes galantes* (1869) to Walter Pater’s fictional evocation of Jean-Antoine Watteau in “A Prince of Court Painters” (1885), from Édouard Manet’s pastoral themes to the early drawings by Alphonse Mucha, neo-rococo was an omnipresent influence. Beardsley’s *ajouré* technique quickly turned him into one of the emblems of this vogue.
Thus, the Symbolist author Alfred Jarry alluded to Beardsley as “the king of Lace” in a Beardsley-dedicated chapter of the novel Exploits and Opinions of Doctor Faustroll, Pataphysician (1898). Although not unprecedented, Beardsley’s expertise in literature and art of the gallant age was considered outstanding among his contemporaries. His letters were interlarded with mentions of seventeenth- and eighteenth-century French engravers, painters, and writers.

Exemplary of this phase, four of Beardsley’s illustrations for Alexander Pope’s “heroi-comical poem” The Rape of the Lock (1896) embellished MacColl’s article in Mir iskusstva (Figs 7–9). The exuberance of wigs and opulent garments, rococo furniture, and artificial landscapes summoned the era of Louis XV, while linear clarity evoked engravings by Jean-Antoine Watteau and his circle. “Never”, according to Beardsley’s lifetime critics, had “his peculiar gifts been exposed with more perfect felicity” than in those intricate “embroideries”. Close in style to The Rape of the Lock designs were three drawings from The Savoy (1896), reproduced in Mir iskusstva: an ornate Under the Hill illustration Fruit Bearers, a fanciful The Death of Pierrot (Fig. 10) featuring commedia dell’arte characters, and the cover for the first issue of The Savoy depicting a lady and a putto-like page in an Arcadian garden.
Figure 7.
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Figure 9.
The lace-like recreations of the eighteenth century were also typical of the work of many members of the World of Art coterie at fin de siècle. In the case of Somov, immersion in eighteenth-century art coincided with his interest in Beardsley. Thus, Ernst remarks that, “talking about the sources of Somov’s art”, one should consider “the influence of the ‘morbid’ Beardsleyan grotesque as well as the eighteenth-century engravings, for which he developed a passion alongside his love for Beardsley”. As Benois notes in an article on Somov, “we were all astonished when, after he got to know […] the just published drawings by Aubrey Beardsley, he suddenly found his own form of expression”. In addition to the countless depictions of masques and fests, gallants and marquises, Somov’s homage to the French eighteenth
century is famously exemplified by his piquant illustrations for the anthology of the period’s erotic literature *Das Lesebuch der Marquise: Ein Rokokobuch* (1908), edited by Franz Blei (Fig. 11).

Figure 11.
Konstantin Somov, Title page, Le Livre de la Marquise, 3rd edn (St Petersburg: Golike and Wilborg, 1918). Russian State Library. Digital image courtesy of Russian State Library (all rights reserved).

The World of Art’s penchant for the frivolous *dix-huitième* world was ideological as well as aesthetic. The group’s promotion of the eighteenth-century style related to the ambition of reconstituting Russian art as an integral part of the European cultural tradition. The members’ preoccupation with the rococo revival was not limited to the adoption of the current European vogue but also encompassed a recovery of the Russian artistic heritage of the pro-Western, post-Petrine period. By promoting this strain of Russian culture, the World of Art provided an alternative to the populist “Russian style” which, according to Karen Kettering, indicated various
attempts to create “an ethnically or nationally ‘true’ form of decoration, usually described as a design or ornament that had appeared or been in use before any sort of outside or foreign influence could be detected”. 47 As Kettering explains, the proponents of the “Russian style”, such as the art patrons Savva Mamontov and Mariia Tenisheva, looked upon the peasantry as a “repository” for national authenticity which had been, supposedly, “eradicated” among the gentry and bourgeoisie by the “modernization” of Peter the Great at the end of the seventeenth century. 48 By contrast, the World of Art group evoked the aristocratic rococo style which was shared among the courts of Europe. As the critic and Beardsley enthusiast Sergei Makovskii put it, the World of Art rediscovered the “works of powdered ancestors”—and with them, “the life of the bygone Russia of the country gentry and the court”. 49 To describe this “aesthetic renaissance”, Polonsky has suggested the concept of “double receptivity”—“a renewed receptivity to foreign literatures [and cultures] which led to a receptivity towards a variety of rediscovered pasts, with Russia’s own past among them”. 50

The reconstruction of the “Westernised” national tradition by the World of Art included, for instance, Benois’ and Diaghilev’s rehabilitation of a taste for “Empire” architecture that had previously been seen as formal and cold, as well as a rediscovery and exhibition of eighteenth-century Russian paintings that had been mistreated as derivative. As a result of Benois’ archival work in Moscow and St Petersburg, and Diaghilev’s research trips to secluded country houses, a nostalgic exhibition of historical portraits from more than 500 owners was staged in 1905 in the Tauride Palace. 51 In this way, the World of Art members were shaping their identity as modern Europeans and, simultaneously, as keepers of the “forgotten” national past. Their work of invention was premised on the act of forgetting that Russia was not a nation but an empire which, by the end of the eighteenth century, had colonised new territories from Poland to Alaska; and that the genteel country of rococo fancies was dependant on the feudal institution of serfdom. 52

The St Petersburg aesthetes picked Beardsley’s rocaille stylisations, which corresponded with their own version of modernism—the one which relied on the reinvention of the eighteenth-century Russia. Stylistic innovations which accounted for the “wild-fire” spread of Beardsley’s notoriety in the 1890s—his bold use of black and white blots—were less visible in the illustration for MacColl’s article in 1900. 53 It was the next major publication of a set of Beardsley’s designs by Mir iskusstva that focused on the previously understated features of his art: the radically stylised visual language and its Japanese roots as well as the poignant eroticism of his work.
“Inappropriate Reproductions of Acutely Erotic Designs”

Beardsley became the highlight of Mir iskusstva for the third time in 1902, when a remarkable set of his nine designs was inserted in the philosophical essay “Lev Tolstoi and Dostoevskii: Conclusion” by the Symbolist writer Dmitrii Merezhkovskii. The essay contained the final part of a study of two major national writers from a religious perspective, which Merezhkovskii was developing and publishing in Mir iskusstva from 1900 to 1902. The selection of Beardsley’s drawings opened with the border design for the List of Pictures for Salome (1894) and included notorious works of the Yellow Book period such as Lady Gold’s Escort (1894) and Messalina and Her Companion (1895). The blatant incongruity between Merezhkovskii’s text and Beardsley’s images ignited a fierce debate.

As Petr Pertsov, a writer introduced to the World of Art’s circle by Merezhkovskii, recalls, “suddenly, in the most solemn places, extremely inappropriate reproductions of acutely erotic designs by Beardsley began to appear”. Whether Nurok was responsible or Diaghilev himself, Merezhkovskii did not appreciate such an “ironic accompaniment”. Writing under her masculine pen-name “Anton Krainii”, Merezhkovskii’s wife, the poet Zinaida Gippius specified what was considered so “inappropriate” about the illustrations:

When the conclusion to Merezhkovskii’s article “L. Tolstoi and Dostoevskii” appeared, it somehow happened that on the same pages where the author talks about the matters which for him were evidently the most sacred and awesome—about Christ, about the end of the world—Beardsley’s ominous figures were strolling … A woman-whore in the form of a modern English Messalina makes her way to the lupanar … The monstrous half-human half-devils are grimacing.

As both Pertsov’s and Gippius’ commentaries stress, the overt eroticism of the reproductions subverts the “solemn” spiritual subject of the article. While the text discusses the love of Christ, the Church, and the religious ideal of the Russian people, Beardsley’s figures are united by the demonic worship of sexuality.

Gippius singles out the drawing of the notorious Roman empress Messalina, who features in the Sixth Satire by Juvenal as an epitome of insatiable lust. In this first of Beardsley’s two designs dedicated to her, the bare-breasted empress is escorted by a single maid to the brothel where she would
fornicate voluntarily with all comers for cash (Fig. 12). The modernity of Beardsley’s menacing femme fatale in the nineteenth-century gown is recognised by Gippius. This image echoes, as Simon Wilson notes, the artist’s depictions of contemporary London night life such as Lady Gold’s Escort, also reproduced in the discussed issue of Mir iskusstva. The figures of Messalina and her younger companion resemble two characters from the drawing L’Éducation Sentimentale described by Max Beerbohm as “a fat elderly whore […] reading […] to the sweetest imaginable young girl”. MacColl labels the recurring image of “the wicked old-woman of the many-pouched face” Beardsley’s “most terrible invention”. While the part of MacColl’s essay exploring the unabashed sexuality of Beardsley’s designs was published in 1900 with tamer samples of the artist’s work, the “ironic accompaniment” for Merezhkovskii’s treatise in 1902 provided the previously omitted visual key.

Gippius singles out the drawing of the notorious Roman empress Messalina, who features in the Sixth Satire by Juvenal as an epitome of insatiable lust. In this first of Beardsley’s two designs dedicated to her, the bare-breasted empress is escorted by a single maid to the brothel where she would fornicate voluntarily with all comers for cash (Fig. 12). The modernity of Beardsley’s menacing femme fatale in the nineteenth-century gown is recognised by Gippius. This image echoes, as Simon Wilson notes, the artist’s depictions of contemporary London night life such as Lady Gold’s Escort, also reproduced in the discussed issue of Mir iskusstva. The figures of Messalina and her younger companion resemble two characters from the drawing L’Éducation Sentimentale described by Max Beerbohm as “a fat elderly whore […] reading […] to the sweetest imaginable young girl”. MacColl labels the recurring image of “the wicked old-woman of the many-pouched face” Beardsley’s “most terrible invention”. While the part of MacColl’s essay exploring the unabashed sexuality of Beardsley’s designs was published in 1900 with tamer samples of the artist’s work, the “ironic accompaniment” for Merezhkovskii’s treatise in 1902 provided the previously omitted visual key.
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Another instance of correspondence between MacColl’s text and Beardsley’s reproductions across the issues of *Mir iskusstva* can be seen in two figures of worshippers, *Volpone Adoring His Treasures* (Fig. 13) and *La Dame aux Camélias* (Fig. 14). The hero of Ben Jonson’s play *Volpone* (1606) is depicted with his hands held as if for prayer and his lustful gaze fixed on the riches piled in front of him. As Beardsley observes in “Volpone Prospectus”, the hero “is a splendid sinner and compels our admiration by [...] the very excess of his wickedness. We are scarcely shocked by his lust, so magnificent is his passion”. While Volpone is posed in sacrilegious admiration of his treasures, the heroine of Alexandre Dumas’ novel *La Dame aux Camélias* (1852), Marguerite Gautier, is depicted at her dressing table. It is adorned with two tall candles; all the powder boxes and beauty articles are depicted with painstaking detail. The portrayal of the courtesan contemplating her reflection is permeated with connotations of voyeurism and fetishism. This
illustration resonates especially with MacColl’s suggestion that Beardsley’s sensibility was that of a fetishist for whom “the toilette became a kind of sacred ritual, with toilette-table set out like an altar”. Reproduced subsequently on pages 123 and 125 in *Mir iskusstva*, the designs for *Volpone* and *La Dame aux Camélias* show the mirror images of two worshippers reversed horizontally. They may, therefore, be seen as two leaves of a pictorial diptych framing Merezhkovskii’s reflections on the “most sacred and awesome”.

What Pertsov and Gippius do not mention in their comments is that Merezhkovskii’s article was illustrated, apart from Beardsley’s “inappropriate” designs, with thirteen reproductions of Japanese woodblock prints, including the works of Katsushika Hokusai and Utagawa Hiroshige. By placing Beardsley’s drawings after the Japanese designs, the editors of *Mir iskusstva* foregrounded this source of Beardsley’s stylistic innovation. In early 1893, the artist reported that he “struck” for himself “an entirely new method of drawing and composition, something suggestive of Japan”. As Zatlin has revealed in her seminal monograph *Beardsley, Japonisme, and the Perversion of the Victorian Ideal*, learning from lucid, elegant, and easily reproducible *ukiyo-e* designs was crucial for the development of Beardsley’s radical stylistic economy which blossomed during his *Yellow Book* phase and was later replaced by the *ajouré* neo-rococo technique. Beardsley’s link to the *ukiyo-e* tradition is particularly conspicuous in such drawings as *Lady Gold’s Escort*, inspired, as Zatlin observes, by the *shironuku* “technique of picking out a design in white”, as well as *Two Women Golfers and Pierrot as a Caddie* and *La Dame aux Camélias*.

Beardsley’s images in Merezhkovskii’s article highlight the distinguishing stylistic devices of the artist: simplification, flattening of the surface, and, as Brian Reade puts it, “the use of black areas to dramatise the designs after the manner of Japanese printmakers”. The combination of these methods, showcased in the 1902 volume of *Mir iskusstva*, informed the new approach to graphic design in Russia at the beginning of the twentieth century.

**The Adoption of Beardsley by Bakst: “New Paths for Graphic Art”**

As Nikolai Radlov claims in his book *Contemporary Russian Graphic Design* (1917), “the dawn, which began to shine in the East, lit up with its rays the distant West, and in England, first, under the influence of the Japanese woodblock prints, the book revived and became the book”. In Radlov’s opinion, modern graphic art was founded by William Morris and Beardsley, who adapted Japanese woodblock techniques for book design. Radlov’s argument stems from his earlier critical reflections (1913), which elucidate
the impact of Beardsley’s technique on Russian artists: “the Japanese and Beardsley opened new paths for graphic art. [...] The admiration of line, black and white blot, the pattern of dots, in short, the cult of graphic technique became the foundation of modern graphic art”. It was not the idiosyncratic “content” of Beardsley’s drawings, as Radlov maintains, but the “form” which “found followers in almost all nations”; as a result, “to this day, graphic art [in general] and, in the first place, Russian graphic art remains ‘japonised’”. The perspective offered by the art critic shifts the focus from Beardsley’s “acutely erotic” subject matter to the formal qualities of his designs.

The accounts of the Mir iskusstva artists show that this circle associated the principles of laconic line and conventionalised form with Beardsley. Thus, Anna Ostroumova-Lebedeva, a member of the World of Art group and a student of another famous proponent of Japonisme, James McNeill Whistler, writes of her professional training during the 1890s: “I was especially interested in the line as such. [...] Simplification and style, this was what I thought about most”. She asserts that, “graphic design as an art form did not exist in Russia at that time” and illustrates this point by adding: “I got to know Beardsley later on, when he was reproduced in the Mir iskusstva journal”. Like many of her peers, Ostroumova-Lebedeva considered that modern graphic design emerged in Russia simultaneously with Mir iskusstva and that Beardsley’s individual style was among the utmost manifestations of this new “art form”.

The work of Leon Bakst offers an opportunity to explore how designers responded stylistically to Beardsley’s art. As Natal’ia Lapshina notes, although there was no official division of roles in relation to Mir iskusstva between the members of the World of Art coterie, Bakst often functioned as the periodical’s unofficial art editor, overseeing the choice of illustrations and the quality of reproductions. He, therefore, had a major role in determining the visual appearance of the publication. In particular, he designed the cover for the 1902 run of the journal in which Merezhkovskii’s controversial essay appeared with Beardsley’s illustrations (Fig. 15). Bakst also produced the colophon of Mir iskusstva, which was printed on the title-page (Fig. 16). This highly stylised colophon shapes the figure of an eagle with unmodulated masses of black and white; the austerity of the design contrasts with the richly detailed neo-rococo cover, which is composed of complicated patterns and dotted lines. These two distinct images show Bakst’s absorption of Beardsley’s “japanesque” manner, typical of the Yellow Book period, as well as his eighteenth-century style, most prominent in The Rape of the Lock and The Savoy illustrations.
Figure 15.
Figure 16.  
Bakst’s cover also reflects the “acutely erotic” aspect of Beardsley’s work. As Janet Kennedy notes, the design is reminiscent of the title page for the first issue of *The Savoy* (Fig. 17). In Beardsley’s drawing, two masked characters stand at drawn curtains and invite the reader to enter their rococo boudoir. Their veiled faces and theatrical costumes, as well as the mask and fan placed on the table between candelabra, suggest uninhibited sexual play, which can be performed under the cover of false identity. Beardsley reinforces erotic connotations by incorporating half-disguised sexual details, such as the breast-shaped cape on the woman on the left and her hand’s position hinting at masturbation. Likewise, Bakst’s cover is full of suggestive details that engage the viewers in sexual decoding and expose their voyeuristic desire. An oval medallion in the centre resembles a peephole in what could be a wallpaper of a nineteenth-century middle-class living room.
On the opposite sides of the oval’s frame, two figures in eighteenth-century attire are seated: a gallant gentleman with a book and a lady with a pair of rabbits on her lap which repeat the form of her breasts. The ribbons embellishing the medallion as well as the placement of the gallant couple evoke the composition of Beardsley’s curtained title-page. Either masks or deep shadows conceal the faces of Bakst’s characters, again reminiscent of the veiled figures from *The Savoy*. The eighteenth-century pair guards the entrance into a garden inside the oval where one can discern, behind a temple-pavilion, a nymph fleeing from a shepherd. The design opens an enfilade of playful scenes leading the gaze through a series of revivals: the eighteenth-century masquerade, the idyllic Arcadian garden, and the shadow of antiquity shimmering in its depths. What fashions this eclectic image into the pictorial manifestation of modernity is the use of the technique then seen in Russia as characteristically Beardsleyesque.

Furthermore, Bakst’s cover alludes to the first of Beardsley’s images reproduced in *Mir iskusstva* in 1899. The details of eighteenth-century dress and Romantic garden architecture, the reading scene and playful artificiality of the design refer to and form an amalgam of *Faun Reading to a Woman* and *Lady on a Sofa*. Beardsley’s works borrowed from the visual vocabulary of the rococo revival, which was adopted transnationally at the turn of the century. The same vocabulary was used by the World of Art designers to affirm their modernity. Thus, the subjects of Beardsley’s drawings correlated with the interests of the World of Art members, while his style was absorbed as the guiding principle of modern Russian design. The combination of elegant eighteenth-century linearity and black blot technique, which resulted in the uniquely stylised representation, was circulated via reproductions of Beardsley’s drawings as well as the designs of his Russian followers such as Bakst.

Finally, the case of Bakst—the artist more famous for his paintings, and stage and costume designs—can demonstrate how the adoption of Beardsley’s style facilitated the traversal of boundaries between art forms. In 1902, the same year as Beardsley’s pictures illustrated Merezhkovskii’s article in *Mir iskusstva*, Bakst painted his *Supper*, a portrait of a femme fatale in a low-cut black dress and massive hat, seated alone at a table (Fig. 18). Despite stylisation, the model is recognisable as Anna Benois, the wife of World of Art’s ideologue Aleksandr Benois. The woman smiles alluringly and looks straight at the viewer with the cat eyes of Beardsley’s Salome. The closed fan in the woman’s hand, stylised, almost geometrical hair and headpiece, the black oval of the gown’s tail present some of the details linking *Supper* and Beardsley’s illustration for *Salome* titled *The Black Cape* (Fig. 19). Although Bakst would introduce audacious colour in his works for the Ballet Russes, this painting produces an effect of a monochrome graphic design.
The contrast of the woman’s white skin and the black blot of her dress is unmistakably Beardsleyesque, with the sinuous curve of her body intensifying the association with Beardsley’s line.

Figure 18.
Leon Bakst, Supper , 1902, oil on canvas, 150 × 100 cm. The State Russian Museum, St Petersburg. Digital image courtesy of The State Russian Museum, St Petersburg / Bridgeman Images (all rights reserved).
It is interesting to note that contemporary audiences also saw Beardsley’s ghost looming behind Bakst’s *Supper*. When the painting was displayed at the fifth exhibition of the World of Art in 1903, it caused a scandal. The allusion to the notorious “Beardsley Woman” was picked by the reviewers. For example, the conservative critic Vladimir Stasov wrote in an article titled “Two Decadent Exhibitions”: 80
A cat in woman’s dress is sitting at the table; her muzzle is in the form of a round plate, in some sort of a horned headwear; [...] her waist, the whole constitution and figure—[are] those of a cat, just as revolting as those of the English poser and freak Beardsley. An unbearable thing!  

Stasov described the woman as an impostor, a decadent monster, while infusing her image with diabolic details such as “horned headwear”. Far from being a complimentary note on Beardsley’s legacy, Stasov’s remark nonetheless sheds light on the popularity of the artist’s imagery and style in Russia: by 1902, they became instantly recognisable by enamoured aesthetes as well as hostile critics from the opposing camp.

Conclusion: A New Watteau for “Our Age”

The World of Art group introduced Beardsley to the wider public through Mir iskusstva, the journal which epitomised modern art in Russia at the turn of the century. The foundation for Beardsley’s reputation was laid by D.S. MacColl’s extensive critical survey and by the continuous circulation of Beardsley’s designs in the periodical. With respect to Beardsley’s styles, Mir iskusstva illuminated the diversity of his techniques, including such extremes as the simplified “japanesques” and the overblown neo-rococo compositions. This combination of the artist’s methods represented in Mir iskusstva resonates with the modernist writer Andrei Bely’s observation (1908): “in the Japanese, Aubrey Beardsley created an image of our age in order to then bring it closer to Watteau”. Bely’s remark foregrounds the relevance of Beardsley’s work for the World of Art as the amalgam of eclectic revivalism, openness to foreign cultures, and a paradoxical vision of modernity. At the turn of the century, Beardsley’s art suggested the arrival of a new Watteau: his eroticism and novel graphic language provided a means for fabricating the ajouré image of the past as well as bringing it up to date. Influential as it was, the World of Art’s engagement with Beardsley’s work is but the opening chapter in the broader and richer history of Russian Beardsleyism.
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